Expanding Housing Access for People with Conviction Histories in Oklahoma

For people with a conviction history, stable housing is a fundamental pillar of reentry. Housing allows people to focus on employment, education, health care access, and building social support. However, public and private sector policies actively prohibit many people with a history of conviction or arrest from accessing affordable housing. The Vera Institute of Justice (Vera) conducted extensive research to estimate the minimum number of Oklahomans unable to access affordable housing, making clear the far-reaching effects of these restrictions. For public safety, justice, and the wellbeing of all Oklahomans, the state should explore ways to make safe and affordable housing available for everyone.

Restrictive policies in public housing authorities (PHAs) and in developments supported by low-income housing tax credits (LIHTC) are largely responsible for keeping Oklahomans with conviction histories out of affordable housing. The most restrictive of these policies concern lookback periods, the amount of time following a conviction, arrest, or other criminal legal system event (e.g., release from prison) during which providers can consider these records in determining admission into housing. These lookback periods can range from two years for certain types of convictions to lifetime bans.

Public Housing Authorities

Vera estimates that 233,000 adults who are otherwise eligible for public housing or housing vouchers are unable to attain housing due to restrictive PHA policies, including lookback periods. If the state’s PHAs reduce their lookbacks, Oklahoma will create immense opportunity for thousands of Oklahomans (see figure 1). For example, reducing lookbacks to six months could result in 4 percent of all Oklahomans gaining access to housing.

Low Income Housing Tax Credit housing

Vera estimates that at least 152,000 adults are potentially ineligible for residence in developments supported by LIHTC due to developer policies. Reducing lookback periods could make tens of thousands of people eligible for LIHTC housing (see figure 2).

Children and families of people with conviction histories also suffer from exclusionary housing barriers. Vera researchers estimate that 77,000 children who are otherwise eligible
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for public housing or housing vouchers are unable to attain housing due to having at least
one parent or guardian with a conviction history.\textsuperscript{6} For developments supported by LIHTC,
approximately 50,000 children are potentially ineligible. Changing these policies could help
keep families together and stable.

**Oklahoma can improve public safety and increase economic mobility** by building upon
the important reforms it has already enacted. During the 2022 legislative session, legislators
passed a slate of criminal justice reform bills that addressed occupational license changes,
earned credit for people on parole, the Sarah Stitt Act, and the automatic expungement of
records, commonly known as “Clean Slate.”\textsuperscript{6} While the Clean Slate Act provides a second
chance for thousands of residents, many of them are still ineligible for affordable housing.
Greater access to housing will help more people to find employment, while also helping
employers attract and keep new talent.\textsuperscript{7}

**Recommendations**

To expand housing access for people with conviction histories and enable more families to
thrive and contribute to their communities, Oklahoma should:

- **Explore statewide policy to increase housing access in PHAs.** These policy
changes could limit PHA lookback periods and introduce other reform strategies,
such as eliminating misdemeanors as a reason for denial. New policies could also
institute data collection mandates that track applicants' demographics to uncover
potential gaps in housing. All this would be in line with legislative changes across the
country, including Illinois’s exemplary 2021 Public Housing Access Bill.\textsuperscript{8}

- **Identify changes to LIHTC policies that would make affordable housing units
more accessible.** Oklahoma should engage stakeholders—including formerly
incarcerated people—in a review of its LIHTC policies to identify opportunities for
reform. Many states are doing this work, and Louisiana might serve as a model. In
2021, the Louisiana Housing Corporation made changes to its tenant selection policy
to prohibit the use of arrests and certain misdemeanors as the basis for admissions
decisions; place time limits for when other types of convictions may be considered;
and require admissions decisions to incorporate evidence of positive behavior—such
as counseling, employment, and recommendations from the community.\textsuperscript{9}

When people with a conviction history face housing instability, they can enter a cycle of
incarceration and reentry that undermines efforts at reform and reintegration.\textsuperscript{10} By
investing in stable, safe, and affordable housing access for all its residents, Oklahoma can
invest in public safety and expand on its statewide innovations in criminal justice reform.
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